



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/163,259	09/29/1998	FRANK W. ADAMS	4167-13	9788
7590 12/30/2008				
RANDY G. HENLEY OTIS ELEVATOR COMPANY PATENT DEPARTMENT TEN FARM SPRINGS FARMINGTON, CT 06032				
EXAMINER				
PICO, ERIC E				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3654				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/30/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/163,259

Applicant(s)

ADAMS ET AL.

Examiner

ERIC PICO

Art Unit

3654

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 September 2008.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 9-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6, 8, 19-23 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 1998 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-855)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/26/2008
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 38. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claim(s) 1-6 and 19-23** is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aulanko et al. EP Publication No. 0710618 in view of Lewis U.S. Patent No. 1477886.

4. **Regarding claim 1 and 20-23**, Aulanko et al. discloses an elevator system comprising:

5. a hoistway, referred to as shaft, defined by a surrounding structure;

6. an elevator car 1 and counterweight 2 located in the hoistway; and

7. a machine, having a drive motor 6 and a drive sheave 7, located between the elevator car 1 and a sidewall of the hoistway, the drive motor 6 drivingly coupling and suspending the elevator car 1 and counterweight 2 via the drive sheave 7 and the drive sheave 7 is positioned from the drive motor 6 along the sidewall.

8. Aulanko et al. is silent concerning at least one flat rope, wherein the flat rope is made from a reinforceable traction material, wherein the flat rope is reinforced with steel or fiber, and wherein the traction material is urethane or rubber.

9. Lewis teaches a flat rope, referred to as belt 2, wherein the flat rope 2 is made from a reinforceable traction material, wherein the flat rope is reinforced with fiber, referred to as threads 5, and wherein the high traction material is rubber.

10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to couple and suspend the elevator car and counterweight disclosed by Aulanko et al. via a flat rope as taught by Lewis to facilitate the contact between the drive sheave and the suspension means.

11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary in the art at the time of the invention was made to reinforce a flat rope with steel or fiber and provide a high traction material being urethane or rubber, since it has been held to be within the general skill to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

12. **Regarding claim 2**, Aulanko et al. discloses first and second support columns 11, 11a located on opposite sides of a hoistway relative to each other, each of the support columns 11, 11a extending vertically from a bottom portion to a top portion of the hoistway between the elevator car 1 and said sidewall of the hoistway; and

13. a support member 20 mounted on and extending generally horizontally between the first and second support columns 11, 11a at a top portion of the hoistway, and wherein the drive motor 6 is supported on the support member 20.

14. **Regarding claim 3**, Aulanko et al. discloses wherein the counterweight 2 is located underneath the support member 20 between the elevator car 1 and said sidewall of the hoistway.

15. **Regarding claim 4**, Aulanko et al. discloses a counterweight sheave 9 coupled to a top portion of the counterweight 2, and at least one elevator sheave 4 coupled to an underside of the elevator car 1, the rope 3 having first and second ends 13, 14 fixedly coupled at a top portion of the hoistway, the rope 3 extending downwardly from the first end 13, looping about the counterweight sheave 9, extending upwardly and looping about the drive sheave 7, extending downwardly and underslinging the elevator car 1

via the at least one elevator sheave 4, and extending upwardly and terminating at the second end 14.

16. **Regarding claim 5**, Aulanko et al. discloses wherein the at least one elevator sheave includes first and second elevator sheaves 4 located at an underside of the elevator car 1 and at opposite sides relative to each other.

17. **Regarding claim 6**, Aulanko et al. discloses wherein the first end of the rope 3 is coupled to the support member 20 at anchorage 13.

18.

19. **Regarding claim 19**, Aulanko et al. discloses an elevator system comprising:

20. a hoistway, referred to as shaft, having a wall;

21. an elevator car 1 traveling within the hoistway

22. a counterweight 2 traveling within the hoistway;

23. one or more ropes 3 engaged with the elevator car 1 and counterweight 2 to suspend the car 1 and counterweight 2; and

24. a drive machine 6 located between the travel path of the elevator car 1 and the wall of the hoistway, the drive machine having a drive motor 6 and a drive sheave 7 and engaged with the one or more ropes 3 through traction to drive the one or more ropes 3 and thereby the car 1 and counterweight 2, wherein the drive sheave 7 is positioned from the drive motor 6 along the sidewall.

25. Aulanko et al. is silent concerning flat ropes, wherein the flat rope is made from a reinforceable traction material.

26. Lewis teaches a flat rope; wherein the flat rope is made from a reinforceable traction material.

27. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to engage and suspend the elevator car and counterweight disclosed by Aulanko et al. with the flat rope as taught by Lewis to facilitate the contact between the drive sheave and the suspension means.

28. **Claim(s) 8** is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aulanko et al. EP Publication No. 0710618 in view of Lewis U.S. Patent No. 1477886 as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Hakala et al. U.S. Patent No. 5469937.

29. **Regarding claim 8**, Aulanko et al. discloses the first and second support columns 10, 11, 11a respectively include a first guide member 10, the guide member 10 defining an elevator guide surface extending vertically therealong at least over a length of the support columns 10, 11, 11a corresponding to the path of elevator car 1 travel, and the elevator car 1 defining opposing surfaces shaped to be movably engagable with the elevator guide surface 10 as the elevator car 1 moves vertically along the support column 10.

30. Aulanko et al. is silent concerning each of the guide members defining an elevator guide surface extending vertically therealong at least over a length of the support columns corresponding to the path of elevator car travel, and the elevator car defining opposing surfaces shaped to be movably engagable with the elevator guide surfaces as the elevator car moves vertically along the support columns.

31. Hakala et al. teaches a first and second columns, referred to as integrated rail unit 12, respectively include first and second guide members, referred to as elevator guide rails 10, the guide members 10 defining an elevator guide surface extending vertically therealong at least over a length of the columns 12 corresponding to the path of elevator car 1 travel, and the elevator car 1 defining opposing surfaces shaped to be movably engagable with the elevator guide surfaces 10 as the elevator car 1 moves vertically along the columns 12, Column 2, Lines 50-61.

32. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make each of the guide members disclosed by Aulanko et al. define an elevator guide surface corresponding to the path of elevator car travel as taught by Hakala et al. to accommodate space constraints within the hoistway.

Response to Arguments

33. Applicant's arguments filed 09/26/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

34. In response to applicant's argument "Aulanko fails to disclose or to suggest [the drive sheave is positioned from the drive motor "along" the sidewall of the hoistway]" although sheave 7 of Aulako et al. positioned further away from the sidewall of the hoistway relative to the drive motor 6, both sheave 7 and drive motor 6 are positioned along the sidewall of the hoistway. The fact that the sheave 7 of Aulanko et al. is positioned away from the sidewall of the hoistway relative to the drive motor 6 does not inhibit shave 7 from being positioned along the sidewall of the hoistway.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

35. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Pico whose telephone number is 571-272-5589. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30AM - 3:00PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EEP
/Peter M. Cuomo/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3654